October 28, 1999

Mr. Jeffrey A. Dhont USEPA Region IX Mail Code H-7-1 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105

Subject:

Well Sampling and Abandonment Report (No. G-10)

**Montrose Superfund Project** 

20201 South Normandie Avenue, Los Angeles, California

Dear Mr. Dhont:

This letter report documents groundwater monitoring well sampling and abandonment activities associated with the Montrose Superfund Project in Los Angeles, California (Figure 1). Off-site well G-10 is located north of the Montrose property, as shown in Figure 2, on the former McDonnell Douglas property located at 19503 South Normandie Avenue (now Boeing). The location of well G-10 interfered with planned redevelopment activities at this property, and therefore, the Boeing Realty Company had requested that the well be abandoned to accommodate their redevelopment plans.

On June 16, 1999, a draft well sampling and abandonment workplan was submitted to EPA Region IX for review. In a letter dated June 24, EPA requested that the workplan be revised to address some additional issues. A revised workplan was submitted to EPA on June 28, and EPA conditionally accepted the revised workplan in a letter dated June 30. The first of two conditions, inspection of the well screen by video-log, was incorporated into the final well sampling and abandonment workplan submitted to EPA on July 12. The second condition, characterization of soil generated during well abandonment, would not be required as described in the cover letter to the July 12 final workplan. Since a contractor to Boeing Realty Company excavated the soil around the well as part of their redevelopment activities, Montrose would generate no soil as part of their well abandonment work. A copy of the July 12 workplan is included as Attachment A.

On July 13, following a review of Montrose's responses to conditions, EPA provided verbal authorization to proceed with implementation of the workplan and advised Earth Tech that a formal written approval letter would follow. Written authorization of the final workplan was ultimately provided by EPA in a letter dated October 4, which is included as Attachment B.

#### Planning and Permitting

In preparation for the sampling and abandonment activities, project team personnel familiarized themselves with the well construction details provided in Appendix C, Final Remedial Investigation Report for the Montrose Site, May 18, 1998. On June 30, an application for a well abandonment permit was submitted to the Los Angeles County Department of Health Services (LACDHS). The application was verbally approved by Mr. Al Bragg of LACDHS that same day, and a hard copy of the approved permit was later received and is included as Attachment C. Following approval of the well abandonment permit by LACDHS and workplan by EPA, Boeing and Boeing's contractors were notified of the implementation schedule for well sampling and abandonment.



Telephone

562.951.2000

Facsimile

562.951.2100

On July 14, a down-hole logging tool equipped with a video camera was lowered into well G-10 for the purpose of casing and screen inspection. The video inspection was performed to verify that the well screen was open and free of debris prior to abandonment activities. The dedicated well pumps (two) were removed to accommodate the down-hole camera. The inspection system consisted of a surface control unit with a video picture monitor and a high-resolution black and white downhole camera fitted with a wide-angle lens. The video inspection showed that the top of groundwater was located at 65.9 feet below the top of casing, the top of the screen was located at 157 feet, and the total depth of the well was located at 194.5 feet. The well screen appeared open, intact, and in good condition. CH2MHill, EPA's contractor, witnessed the inspection at the time of the taping and determined that the well screen was in satisfactory condition to proceed with the abandonment activities.

#### **Groundwater Well Sampling and Analysis**

On July 14, well G-10 was gauged, purged, and sampled in accordance with the protocols in the EPA approved workplan. An electronic interface probe was used to gauge the static water level of the well prior to purging and sampling. The depth to water was measured relative to the top of the well casing and was found to be 65.7 feet. The dedicated pumps (two) were not functional and were removed. A portable electric submersible pump was lowered to the well bottom and used to purge a minimum of 3 well volumes (255 gallons) from well G-10. A total of 265 gallons of water was purged from well G-10 during which groundwater parameters including temperature, pH, and electrical conductivity were monitored. Well purging data was recorded and is included as Attachment D. The well purge water was contained in five labeled steel Department of Transportation (DOT) approved drums and relocated to the Montrose property pending disposal. Groundwater sampling was performed once the water level in the well returned to at least 80 percent of its static level. Groundwater samples were collected using a clean disposable (single-use) bailer and laboratory-supplied containers. Each sample container was clearly labeled to identify the well name, date and time of collection, sampler name, analysis, and sample preservative. One duplicate sample was taken for the purpose of quality control. Samples were stored in a cooler and delivered to Columbia Analytical Services, Canoga Park, California, for analysis following proper chain-of-custody procedures.

The groundwater samples were analyzed following EPA Method 8260 for the presence of dissolved volatile organics. The well samples were also analyzed for the presence of parachlorobenzene sulfonic acid (p-CBSA) using high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC). The laboratory analytical report is included as Attachment E. Groundwater analytical results are also presented in Table 1 and summarized as follows:

- Trichloroethene (TCE) was detected at 2.1 and 1.7 micrograms per liter (ug/L) in samples G-10 and G-10 DUP, respectively
- Tetrachloroethene (PCE) was detected at 0.8 and 0.9 ug/L in samples G-10 and G-10 DUP, respectively
- Chlorobenzene was detected at 1.0 ug/L in both samples
- P-CBSA was not detected in either sample

#### Well Abandonment

On July 15, well G-10 was abandoned in accordance with the EPA approved workplan and Bulletins 74-81 and 74-90, Water Well Standards, State of California Department of Water Resources. An exclusion



zone was established for well G-10 using cones and caution tape. All persons involved in field activities were required to read and sign the site-specific Health & Safety Plan. At the time of well abandonment, the top of casing was approximately 1 to 1.5 feet below street grade, and the soil around the well casing had been excavated by a Boeing contractor to a depth of approximately 7 to 7.5 feet below the top of casing. The well was permanently sealed in place using a bentonite/cement grout. The grout consisted of 90 percent neat cement and 10 percent bentonite (Hydrogel™). The grout was prepared in 55-gallon batches by mixing 3-bags neat cement with 1/3 bag of bentonite and pumped into the well using a tremie pipe. The tremie pipe was slowly raised during grout injection to ensure the grout did not bridge within the well during placement. Once the grout had reached the top of casing, a pressure cap was fitted onto the wellhead to deliver the grout under pressure. Several attempts were made to place grout into the well casing under pressure. The pumping equipment was disconnected when the grout could no longer be delivered into the well casing under pressure. Approximately 195-gallons of grout was used to seal the well casing during abandonment activities. Following pressure grouting, the upper 6 feet of steel conductor casing was removed using a welding torch. The upper 5.5 to 6 feet of 4-inch diameter PVC well casing was removed using a hand-saw. All construction debris was relocated to the Montrose property pending disposal in a municipal landfill. CH2MHill, EPA's contractor, witnessed abandonment of well G-10, and following which, they determined that soil backfilling and redevelopment activities could commence. The well was inspected 24-hours later to verify that the grout had not fallen significantly below the top of casing. The grout level was satisfactory and the field portion of the project closed.

If you have any questions regarding this letter report, please don't hesitate to contact us at (562) 951-2000.

Very Truly Yours,

**EARTH TECH** 

Grant Williams

Project Geologist

Brian Dean

Senior Engineer

Cc: Mr. Frank Bachman – Montrose Chemical Corp., Bainbridge Island, WA

Mr. Karl Lytz - Latham & Watkins, San Francisco, CA

Mr. Robert Howard - Latham & Watkins, San Diego, CA

Mr. Paul Sundberg - Stockton, CA

Mr. S. Mario Stavale - Boeing Realty Company, Long Beach, CA

Ms. Joann Ornelas - Integrated Environmental Services, Newport Beach, CA

#### Attachments:

Figure 1 – Site Location Map

Figure 2 – Well Location Map

Table 1 – Groundwater Analytical Data

A - EPA Approved Well Sampling and Abandonment Workplan

B - EPA Workplan Approval Letter

C - Los Angeles County Department of Health Services - Well Destruction Permit

D - Well Purging Data Sheet

E - Laboratory Analytical Report







TABLE 1
Groundwater Analytical Results
Montrose Chemical Corporation

EPA 8260	Sample ID	G-10	G-10 DUP
Analyte	Units	ug/L	ug/L
•	Date Sampled	7/14/99	7/14/99
Dichlorofluoromethane (CFC 12)		<0.5	<0.5
Chloromethane		<0.5	<0.5
Vinyl Chloride		<0.5	<0.5
Bromomethane		<0.5	<0.5
Chloroethane		<0.5	<0.5
Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)		<0.5	<0.5
Acetone		<20	<20
1,1-Dichloroethene		<0.5	<0.5
Carbon Disulfide		<2	<2
Methylene Chloride		<2	<2
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene		<0.5	<0.5
1,1-Dichlroethane		<0.5	<0.5
2-Butanone (MEK)		<20	<20
2,2-Dichloropropane		<0.5	<0.5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene		<0.5	<0.5
Chloroform		<0.5	<0.5
Bromochloromethane		<0.5	<0.5
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)		<0.5	<0.5
1,1-Dichloropropene		<0.5	<0.5
Carbon Tetrachloride		<0.5	<0.5
1,2-Dichloroethane		<0.5	<0.5
Benzene		<0.5	<0.5
Trichloroethene (TCE)		2.1	1.7
1,2-Dichloropropane	2-17-1	<0.5	<0.5
Bromodichloroemethane		<0.5	<0.5
Dibromomethane		<0.5	<0.5
2-Hexanone	Mary Control of the C	<20	<20
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene		<0.5	<0.5
Toluene		<0.5	<0.5
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene		<0.5	<0.5
1,1,2-Trichloroethane		<0.5	<0.5
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)		<20	<20
1,3-Dichloropropane		<0.5	<0.5
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)		0.8	0.9
Dibromochloromethane		<0.5	<0.5
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)		<2	<2
Chlorobenzene		1.0	1.0
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane		<0.5	<0.5
Ethylbenzene		<0.5	<0.5
Total Xylenes		<0.5	<0.5
Styrene		<0.5	<0.5
Bromoform		<0.5	<0.5
Isopropylbenzene		<2	<2
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane		<0.5	<0.5
1,2,3-Trichloropropane		<0.5	<0.5

table1.xis 1 of 2



**TABLE 1**Groundwater Analytical Results
Montrose Chemical Corporation

EPA 8260	Sample ID	G-10	G-10 DUP
Analyte	Units	ug/L	ug/L
<u> </u>	Date Sampled	7/14/99	7/14/99
Bromobenzene		<0.5	<0.5
n-Propylbenzene		<2	<2
2-Chlorotoluene		<2	<2
4-Chlorotoluene		<2	<2
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene		<2	<2
tert-Butylbenzene		<2	<2
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene		<2	<2
sec-Butylbenzene		<2	<2
1,3-Dichlorobenzene		<0.5	<0.5
4-Isopropyltoluene		<2	<2
1,4-Dichlorobenzene		<0.5	<0.5
n-Butylbenzene		<2	<2
1,2-Dichlorobenzene		<0.5	<0.5
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)		<2	<2
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene		<2	<2
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene		<2	<2
Napthalene		<2	<2
Hexachlorobutadiene		<2	<2
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether		<2	<2
P-CBS	SA Analysis by Method HPLC		
P-Chlorobenzene Sulfonic Acid		<10	<10

table1.xls 2 of 2

# ATTACHMENT A Well Sampling and Abandonment Plan

July 12, 1999

Mr. Jeffrey Dhont Environmental Protection Agency, Region IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, California 94105

Re: Proposed Well Sampling and Abandonment Plan (Off-Site Well G-10)
Montrose Superfund Site, Los Angeles, California

Dear Mr. Dhont:

Earth Tech has incorporated EPA's recommendations to the well sampling and abandonment plan. Please find attached a revised sampling and abandonment plan for off-site well G-10. Downhole videotaping and sampling is scheduled to take place on July 14, 1999 with the abandonment taking place the following day, July 15. Mr. Hooshang Nezafati of CH2M Hill has been notified of the schedule. In the original proposal, Earth Tech indicated that a waste classification sample would be collected from the drill cuttings. However, due to the length of the steel conductor casing, no drilling is to take place and the waste classification sample will not be required. If you have any questions or concerns regarding the revised plan, please don't hesitate to contact us at (562) 951-2212. We appreciate your prompt attention to this matter.

Telephone

562.951.2000

Facsimile

562.951.2100

Very Truly Yours,

**EARTH TECH** 

Brian D. Dean

Senior Engineer



#### Proposed Well Sampling and Abandonment Plan Off-Site Well No. G-10 Montrose Superfund Site, Los Angeles, California

July 12, 1999

The subject well (G-10) is located on property adjacent to the Montrose Site and owned by Boeing North American, Inc. The property owner has requested that the well be abandoned to accommodate property redevelopment activities. Well G-10, a groundwater monitoring well screened into the Gage Aquifer to the north of the Montrose Site, will be purged and sampled using conventional means. Following sampling, the well will be abandoned in accordance with State and County standards.

#### Planning and Permitting

Project team personnel will familiarize themselves with the well construction information as provided in Appendix C, Final Remedial Investigation Report for the Montrose Site, May 18, 1998. An application for well abandonment will be submitted to the Los Angeles County Department of Health Services. The application will include a site location map, well location map, well construction diagram, and description of the well abandonment methodology. In addition, Dig-Alert and the on-site construction contractor will be notified in advance of the field activity. A borehole video camera for the purpose of well screen inspection will be sent down prior to well sampling and abandonment activities. The inspection system consists of a surface control unit with a video picture monitor and a high-resolution downhole camera fitted with a wide-angle lens. The inspection will be recorded on videotape and reviewed by CH2M Hill on the day of the inspection to verify that the well screen is in satisfactory condition prior to the commencement of abandonment activities.

#### Groundwater Well Sampling

Well G-10 will be gauged to measure static groundwater level, purged, and sampled following accepted protocols. The well will be gauged using an electronic interface probe prior to purging and sampling. The depth to water will be measured relative to the top of the well casing. A minimum of three well volumes will be purged from well G-10 prior to sampling. During well purging, the groundwater parameters, temperature, pH, and specific electrical conductivity will be monitored. Well purging will continue until the groundwater parameters stabilize (readings within 10 percent) or until three well volumes are extracted. Well purging will be performed using the existing dedicated submersible pump (if functional). The well purge water will be contained in five steel Department of Transportation (DOT) approved drums and relocated to the Montrose property pending disposal. Following profiling, the drums will be transported under signed manifest for treatment as a non-hazardous waste. Water sampling will be performed once the water level in the well returns to at least 80 percent of its static level. Water samples will be collected using a clean single-use disposal bailer and laboratory-supplied containers. Each sample container will be clearly labeled to identify the well name, date and time of collection, sampler name, analysis, and sample preservative. Samples will be stored in a cooler and delivered to a state-certified laboratory. One duplicate sample will be collected for the purpose of quality control. No trip or equipment rinsate blanks are proposed for this sampling effort. The well samples will be analyzed following EPA Method 8260 for the presence of dissolved volatile organics including benzene, chlorobenzene, chloroform, trichloroethene, tetrachloroethene, and others. The well samples will also be analyzed for the presence of parachlorobenzene sulfonic acid (p-CBSA) following EPA Method 300.0 (ion chromatography). The detection limits for the aforementioned laboratory analyses will

not exceed the in-situ groundwater standards established in Table 9-1 of the Record of Decision for the Dual Site Groundwater Operable Unit (dated March 1999). All field activities will be performed in accordance with the existing Health and Safety Plan and Quality Assurance Plan.

#### Well Abandonment

Well G-10 will be abandoned in accordance with Bulletins 74-81 and 74-90, Water Well Standards, State of California Department of Water Resources. An exclusion zone will be established for well G-10 using cones and caution tape. The dedicated submersible pump, upon removal, will be inspected to verify that no pronounced scaling, corrosion, or other problems are present that could obstruct proper well abandonment. The submersible pump will be stored at the Montrose property. Well construction details will be reviewed to verify all depths and volumes. The soil around the well casing will be excavated to a depth of 5 feet below grade using a backhoe. The well will be permanently sealed in place using a bentonite/cement grout. The grout will consist of 90 percent neat cement and 10 percent bentonite (Hydrogel<sup>TM</sup>). The grout will be properly mixed in batches prior to placement in the well (e.g.: 1 part bentonite per 9 parts neat cement). The premixed grout will be pumped into the well using a tremie pipe initially placed at total depth. The tremie pipe is slowly raised as the well is filled with grout. This methodology will ensure that the grout does not bridge within the well during placement. The grout will be continuously pumped into the well until filled to surface. The volume of grout consumed during this phase of the abandonment should equal or exceed the empty well volume estimated at 130 gallons (4-inch diameter well to 198 feet below surface). The volume of grout delivered into the well casing will be accurately recorded (by monitoring batch volumes) throughout the field activities as a means of verifying proper well abandonment. Due to the weight of the grout column, some grout will initially seep into the adjacent sand pack and/or formation. As this seepage occurs, additional grout will be added to the well until there is no measurable change in the elevation of grout within the well casing. The well casing will then be sealed as additional grout is pumped into the well under pressure. This pressure will force some grout out the well screen and into the sand pack and/or formation. The amount of grout consumed during this phase is dependent on the nature of the sand pack and adjacent formation and can not be accurately estimated. Grout will continue to be pumped into the well until the pressure within the casing begins to increase exponentially. This relatively sudden pressure buildup is indicative that the adjacent sand pack and/or formation have been adequately sealed. Repeated attempts will be made to place grout into the well casing under pressure. If no further grout can be pumped into the well following 3 successive attempts, the pumping equipment will be disconnected from the well allowing the excess grout to spill out into the excavation. The upper 5 feet of conductor casing will be removed using a welding torch, and the upper 5 feet of PVC casing will be removed using a hand saw. Any construction debris generated during these activities will be relocated to the Montrose property pending disposal in a municipal landfill. The grout will be allowed to set for 24 hours at which time the well will be inspected to verify that the level of grout has not fallen. The excavation will be backfilled if required.

#### Reporting

A letter report will be prepared to summarize the field activities and laboratory results. The report will include a site location map, a well location map, a summary of the purge and analytical data in tabular format, and copies of the laboratory report, waste manifests, and well permit. The report will be reviewed and signed by a State of California registered professional. A draft report will be provided for review and comment.

# ATTACHMENT B EPA Workplan Approval Letter



## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX 75 Hawthorne Street San Francisco, CA 94105

October 4, 1999

Mr. Frank Bachman Montrose Chemical Corporation of California 600 Ericksen Avenue NE Suite 380 Bainbridge Island, WA 98110

RE: Completion of Abandonment of Well G-10; Montrose Superfund Site,

Los Angeles, CA

Dear Mr. Bachman:

This letter is to confirm EPA's approval of the completion of the abandonment of Monitoring Well G-10. This Gage Aquifer well recently had to be abandoned because it was on the Boeing property north of the Montrose Property and Boeing's redevelopment activities were incompatible with the well due to its location. In our monthly meeting with you on July 21, 1999, we discussed that, as of the date that I left for vacation in late June, EPA had some concerns with respect to the well abandonment plan Montrose had originally drafted for Well G-10. During my vacation absence, Montrose's contractors worked with an alternate RPM in our office on the EPA concerns. Montrose then issued a modified well abandonment plan to EPA, with respect to which EPA relayed two final concerns. Although EPA did not officially correspond with Montrose to approve the plan, Montrose proceeded with the well abandonment after it understood that the remaining two EPA concerns had been addressed.

While EPA does not encourage the practice of proceeding without approval, in this case we acknowledge that Montrose carried out the well abandonment in accordance with the plan that would have been approved by EPA, and that the well abandonment appeared to have been performed properly as evidenced by our field oversight of the effort. EPA is therefore satisfied with the work performed by Montrose for this abandonment. As discussed in our June 21 meeting, EPA requests that Montrose submit a report documenting the abandonment effort, to be supplemented by the video log of the well which was taken prior to abandonment. Please submit this report and log within thirty days of your receipt of this letter.

If you have any questions about this letter, please feel free to call me at (415) 744-2399.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey A. Dhont

Remedial Project Manager

Effront & Obort

Superfund Division

### ATTACHMENT C

Los Angeles County Department of Health Services

Well Destruction Permit

:NV	PLICATION FOR WELL PERMIT  PRONMENTAL HEALTH 2525 Corporate Place Monterey Part  INTY OF LOS ANGELES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES	k, Ca 91754	DATE 6-30-99
	TYPE OF PERMIT (CHECK)  NEW WELL CONSTRUCTION  RECONSTRUCTION OR RENOVATION  DESTRUCTION	TYPE OF WELL  PRIVATE DOMESTIC  PUBLIC DOMESTIC  IRRIGATION  OBSERVATION/MONIT	☐ CATHODIC ☐ INDUSTRIAL ☐ GRAVEL PACK ORING ☐ TEST
DESCHIP TION	attached documentation for furth	casing with bh	
LOCATION	ADDRESS (NUMBER, STREET, AND NEAREST INTERSECTION) 19503 South Normandie Are DIAGRAM (SHOW PROPERTY LINES, STREET, ADDRESS, WELL SITE, SEWERS, AND PR	IIVATE SEWAGE DISPOSAL SYSTEMS ALC	Los Argeles  ONG WITH LABELS AND DIMENSIONS)
LICA	TRADE NAME  BUSINESS ADDRESS  CITY  2475 Carritok Are Survey respect with all regulations of the County Preventive/Public Health Services and with all ordinances and laws of the County of Los Angeles and of the State of California pertaining to well construction, reconstruction and destruction. Upon completion of well and within ten days thereafter, I will furnish the County Preventive/Public Health Services with a complete log of the well, giving date drilled, depth of well, all perforations in casing, and any other data deemed necessary by such County Preventive/Public Health Services.  ENTERED  Applicant's Signature	MAILING ADDRESS  GOO ENCKS  CITY  ROG BAIN bridge  DISPOSITION OF APPLICA  APPROVED  APPROVED WITH CON  If denied or approved with there:  WHEN WILL Y  DESTRUCTION  DATE  SANG	TSland   WA 98110  ATION: (For Sanitarians Use Only)

FOR ONE MONITORING WELL DESTRUCTION

ATTACHMENT D
Well Purging Data Sheet

<del>-</del> -	
7	5
-	
0,00	200

# Well Development/Purge Log

Bailer No. Collection Pump No. Collection No. Colle	Remarks el (e.g. water clarity) at)	celve our which years	17	11		-	1	1	8 Sample talsen				Date   1/4/97   Form F-1003   5/1/95
Number (₹-1 C) Location MW-1/7€CS1∠ Datum Elev. Datum Point 4 <sup>II</sup> Ground Elev. 4 <sup>II</sup> Well Diameter 4 <sup>II</sup> Well Material RUC	Gallons Water Dev./Purge Level Before (feet)	7	/ OS	160	150	C 02	250	265	b5.8				By Educion Morrings
Number	Settleable Solids (ml)	\	\	\	\	i,	N.	V.				_	Recorded By Checked By
2、1 () (Well Mouth) (Water) (A たう)	Turbidity NTU		\	\	\	Ì	\						
toted cled After A	Dissolved Oxygen mg/l	\		\	\	\	\	\					
Project No. 3452 ent) Or	Cond. µmhos/cm	×-10	1 4 4 0	8 5c	028	\$10	\$ 25	018					
roduci	Æ	1.5.5	5.74	6.83	7.15	12.1.	1.24	7 34					
0.5t.:	Water Temp In Co	75.5	13.9	72.3	72.0	71.8	71.0	71.0					9au 91 0 1 4 1 4
urgir 	Flow Rate (gpm)												1 m langer m 3 2 0 0 2 2 4 0 0 7 8 10 0 5 5
Development BP Project Name N/4 PID/FID Readings Static Levels Pump D/8ail B/8ate Water Column Length Disposition of Discharge Specific Capacity	Time (24 hr.)	12.05	C1-21	71.7	02.20	22.27	12.30	22.6	12.45	۲۲. و			e de la companya de l

## ATTACHMENT E Laboratory Analytical Report



July 30, 1999

Brian Dean Earth Tech, Inc. 100 W. Broadway Suite 5000 Long Beach, CA 90802

Re: Montrose Well G-10

Dear Brian:

Enclosed are the results of the samples submitted to our laboratory on July 16, 1999. The samples were sent to Applied P & Ch Lab for P-Chlorobenzene Sulfonic Acid. Please find their report (#801-994851) attached. For your reference, these analyses have been assigned our service request number L9903068.

All analyses were performed in accordance with our laboratory's quality assurance program. Results are intended to be considered in their entirety and apply only to the samples analyzed. Columbia Analytical Services is not responsible for use of less than the complete report.

Columbia Analytical Services is certified for environmental analyses by the California Department of Health Services (certificate number: 1296A, expiration: August 31, 2000).

If you have any questions, please call me at (818) 587-5550, extension 309.

Respectfully submitted,

Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

**Project Chemist** 

RM/kh

#### Columbia Analytical Services, Inc.

Acronyms California DHS LUFT Method 8015M A2T.A American Association for Laboratory Accreditation **ASTM** American Society for Testing and Materials BOD Biochemical Oxygen Demand Benzene/Toluene/Ethylbenzene/Xylenes **BTEX** CAM California Assessment Metals **CARB** California Air Resources Board **CAS Number** Chemical Abstract Service Registry Number CFC Chlorofluorocarbon CFU Colony-Forming Unit COD Chemical Oxygen Demand **CRDL** Contract Required Detection Limit Department of Environmental Conservation DEC DEQ Department of Environmental Quality Duplicate Laboratory Control Sample DLCS **DMS** Duplicate Matrix Spike DOE Department of Ecology DOH or DHS Department of Health Services ELAP. Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program **EPA** U.S. Environmental Protection Agency GC Gas Chromatography GC/MS Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry IC Ion Chromatography **ICB** Initial Calibration Blank sample **ICP** Inductively Coupled Plasma atomic emission spectrometry ICV Initial Calibration Verification sample Estimated concentration. The value is less than the MRL, but greater than or equal to the MDL. J If the value is equal to the MRL, the result is actually <MRL before rounding. LCS Laboratory Control Sample LUFT Leaking Underground Fuel Tank M MBAS Methylene Blue Active Substances Maximum Contaminant Level. The highest permissible concentration of a substance MCL allowed in drinking water as established by the U.S. EPA. MDL Method Detection Limit MPN Most Probable Number MRL Method Reporting Limit MS Matrix Spike MTBE Methyl-tert -Butyl Ether Not Applicable NA Not Analyzed NAN NC Not Calculated National Council of the paper industry for Air and Stream Improvement **NCASI** None Detected at or above the Method Reporting/Detection Limit (MRL/MDL) ND National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health NIOSH Nephelometric Turbidity Units NTU Parts Per Billion ppb Parts Per Million ppm Practical Quantitation Limit POL QA/QC Quality Assurance/Quality Control RCRA Resource Conservation and Recovery Act **RPD** Relative Percent Difference Selected Ion Monitoring SIM Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater, 18th Ed., 1992. SM Solubility Threshold Limit Concentration STLC Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, SW-846, SW Third Edition, 1986 and as amended by Updates I, II, IIA, and IIB. **TCLP** Toxicity Characteristics Leaching Procedure TDS Total Dissolved Solids Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons TPH Trace level is the concentration of an analyte that is less than the PQL but greater than or equal to tr the MDL. If the value is equal to the PQL, the result is actually <PQL before rounding.

TRPH

TTLC VOA

TSS

Total Recoverable Petroleum Hydrocarbons

Total Threshold Limit Concentration

Total Suspended Solids

Volatile Organic Analyte(s)

BOE-C6-0044016

Analytical Report

Client: Project: Sample Matrix: Earth Tech, Inc. Montrose Well G-10 Water Service Request: L9903068
Date Collected: NA
Date Received: NA

Volatile Organic Compounds

Sample Name: Lab Code: Test Notes: Method Blank L990722-MB Units: ug/L (ppb)

Basis: NA

	Prep	Analysis	) (T) (	Dilution		Date	<b>D</b> 1/	Result
Analyte	Method	Method	MRL	Factor	Extracted	Analyzed	Result	Notes
Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Chloromethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Vinyl Chloride	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	<b>7/22/</b> 99	ND	
Bromomethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	<b>7/22</b> /99	ND	
Chloroethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Acetone	EPA 5030	8260B	20	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,1-Dichloroethene	EPA 5030	<b>8260B</b>	0.5	l	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Carbon Disulfide	EPA 5030	<b>82</b> 60B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Methylene Chloride	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,1-Dichloroethane	EPA 5030	<b>82</b> 60B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
2-Butanone (MEK)	EPA 5030	8260B	20	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
2,2-Dichloropropane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Chloroform	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Bromochloromethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,1-Dichloropropene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Carbon Tetrachloride	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2-Dichloroethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	<b>7/22/</b> 99	ND	
Benzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Trichloroethene (TCE)	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2-Dichloropropane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Bromodichloromethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Dibromomethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
2-Hexanone	EPA 5030	8260B	<b>2</b> 0	1	NA	<b>7/22/</b> 99	ND	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Toluene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	EPA 5030	<b>82</b> 60B	0.5	1	NA	7/ <b>22/</b> 99	ND	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	EPA 5030	<b>8</b> 260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	EPA 5030	8260B	20	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,3-Dichloropropane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	<b>7/22/</b> 99	ND	
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Dibromochloromethane	EPA 5030	<b>82</b> 60B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	-
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	<b>7/22/</b> 99	ND	
Chlorobenzene	EPA 5030	<b>82</b> 60B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Ethylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Total Xylenes	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Styrene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	ì	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Bromoform	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Isopropylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	i	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	

Approved By:

M 2 5 Date:

#### Analytical Report

Client: Project: Sample Matrix: Earth Tech, Inc. Montrose Well G-10

Water

Service Request: L9903068
Date Collected: NA

Date Received: NA

Volatile Organic Compounds

Sample Name: Lab Code:

Method Blank L990722-MB

Units: ug/L (ppb) Basis: NA

Test Notes:

Analyte	Prep Method	Analysis Method	MRL	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Result	Result Notes
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Bromobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
n-Propylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
2-Chlorotoluene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
4-Chlorotoluene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
tert-Butylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
sec-Butylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
4-Isopropyltoluene	EPA 5030	<b>82</b> 60B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
n-Butylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	<b>826</b> 0B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Naphthalene	EPA 5030	<b>826</b> 0B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Hexachlorobutadiene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Methyl tert -Butyl Ether	EPA 5030	<b>82</b> 60B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	

M 2 5 Date: 1/2/11 Approved By: 1S2P/050897p

03068VOA.HW1 - MBlank 7/23/99

#### Analytical Report

Client: Project: Sample Matrix: Earth Tech, Inc. Montrose Well G-10 Water Service Request: L9903068
Date Collected: 7/14/99
Date Received: 7/16/99

Volatile Organic Compounds

Sample Name:

G-10

Units: ug/L (ppb)

Lab Code: Test Notes:

L9903068-001

Basis: NA

Analyte	Prep Method	Analysis Method	MRL	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Result	Result Notes
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Bromobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
n-Propylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
2-Chlorotoluene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
4-Chlorotoluene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	<b>7/22/</b> 99	ND	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
tert-Butylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/ <b>2</b> 2/99	ND	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
sec-Butylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/ <b>2</b> 2/99	ND	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
4-Isopropyltoluene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1.4-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
n-Butylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)	EPA 5030	8260B	2	I	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Naphthalene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Hexachlorobutadiene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/ <b>22/</b> 99	ND	
Methyl tert -Butyl Ether	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	

Approved By: \_\_\_\_

1923

1/21/91

03068VOA.HW1 - Sample 7/23/99

Page No.;

#### **Analytical Report**

Client: Project: Sample Matrix: Earth Tech, Inc. Montrose Well G-10 Water

Date Received: 7/16/99

Service Request: L9903068 Date Collected: 7/14/99

Volatile Organic Compounds

Sample Name: Lab Code: Test Notes:

G-10 DUP L9903068-002 Units: ug/L (ppb)

Basis: NA

Analyte	Prep Method	Analysis Method	MRL	Dilution Factor	Date Extracted	Date Analyzed	Result	Result Notes
Dichlorodifluoromethane (CFC 12)	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Chloromethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	ī	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Vinyl Chloride	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	ī	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Bromomethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	ī	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Chloroethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Trichlorofluoromethane (CFC 11)	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Acetone	EPA 5030	8260B	20	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,1-Dichloroethene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	•
Carbon Disulfide	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Methylene Chloride	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,1-Dichloroethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	i	NA	7/22/99	ND	
2-Butanone (MEK)	EPA 5030	8260B	20	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
2,2-Dichloropropane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Chloroform	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Bromochloromethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (TCA)	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,1-Dichloropropene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Carbon Tetrachloride	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2-Dichloroethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Benzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Trichloroethene (TCE)	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	1.7	
1,2-Dichloropropane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Bromodichloromethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Dibromomethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	<i>7/</i> 22/99	ND	
2-Hexanone	EPA 5030	8260B	20	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Toluene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	EPA 5030	8260B	20	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,3-Dichloropropane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	0.9	
Dibromochloromethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	1.
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Chlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/ <b>22/9</b> 9	1.0	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	l	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Ethylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Total Xylenes	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Styrene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Bromoform	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Isopropylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	

1 2 5 Date: 7/21/49 Approved By: \_\_\_

03068VOA.HW1 - Sample (2) 7/23/99

#### Analytical Report

Client: Project:

Earth Tech, Inc. Montrose Well G-10

Service Request: L9903068 Date Collected: 7/14/99

Sample Matrix:

Water

Date Received: 7/16/99

Volatile Organic Compounds

Sample Name: Lab Code: Test Notes:

G-10 DUP L9903068-002 Units: ug/L (ppb)

Basis: NA

	Prep	Analysis		Dilution	Date	Date		Result
Analyte	Method	Method	MRL	Factor	Extracted	Analyzed	Result	Notes
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Bromobenzene	EPA 5030	<b>826</b> 0B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
n-Propylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
2-Chlorotoluene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
4-Chlorotoluene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
tert-Butylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
sec-Butylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
4-Isopropyltoluene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
n-Butylbenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1.2-Dichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Naphthalene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Hexachlorobutadiene	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	
Methyl tert-Butyl Ether	EPA 5030	8260B	2	1	NA	7/22/99	ND	

Approved By: 1S2P/050897p

03068VOA.HWI - Sample (2) 7/23/99

M 25 Date: 1/u/49

#### QA/QC Report

Client:

Earth Tech, Inc.

Service Request: L9903068

Project:

Montrose Well G-10

Date Collected: NA

Sample Matrix:

Prep Method:

Analysis Method:

Water

Date Received: NA
Date Extracted: NA

Date Analyzed: NA

Surrogate Recovery Summary Volatile Organic Compounds

EPA 5030

8260B

Units: PERCENT

Basis: NA

Sample Name	Lab Code	Test Notes	Percer Dibromofluoromethane	t Rec Toluene-D <sub>8</sub>	o v e r y 4-Bromofluorobenzene
G-10	L9903068-001		107	98	95
G-10 DUP	L9903068-002		96	100	94
Method Blank	L990722-MB		109	97	96
Batch QC	L9903049-007MS		103	97	91
Batch QC	L9903049-007DMS		106	97	91

CAS Acceptance Limits:

70-130

88-110

86-115

Approved By:

SUR3/020597p 03068VQA.HW1 - SUR 7/23/99 Date: 7/4/17

BOE-C6-0044022

QA/QC Report

Client:

Earth Tech, Inc.

Project:

Montrose Well G-10

Sample Matrix:

Water

Service Request: L9903068

Date Collected: NA

Date Received: NA

Date Extracted: NA

Date Analyzed: 7/22/99

Matrix Spike/Duplicate Matrix Spike Summary Volatile Organic Compounds

Sample Name:

Batch QC

Units: ug/L (ppb)

Lab Code:

L9903049-007MS,

L9903049-007DMS

Basis: NA

Test Notes:

Percent Recovery

	Prep	Analysis		Spike	Level	Sample	Spike	Result			CAS Acceptance	Relative Percent	Result
Analyte	Method	Method	MRL	MS	DMS	Result	MS	DMS	MS	DMS	Limits	Difference	Notes
1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	5.00	5.00	ND	5.95	6.31	119	126	61-145	6	
Trichloroethene (TCE)	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	5.00	5.00	ND	5.71	5.72	114	114	71-120	<1	
Benzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	5.00	5.00	ND	5.21	5.48	104	110	76-127	5	
Toluene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	5.00	5.00	ND	5.31	5.48	106	110	76-125	3	
Chlorobenzene	EPA 5030	8260B	0.5	5.00	5.00	ND	5.15	5.35	103	107	75-130	4	

2 2 Date: 1/22/97 Approved By: DMS/05089703068VOA.HW1 - DMS 7/23/99

#### Applied P & Ch Laboratory

13760 Magnolia Ave. Chino CA 91710

Tel: (909) 590-1828 Fax: (909) 590-1498

Submitted to:

Columbia Analytical Services (Canoga Park, CA)

Attention: Roy Martinez 6925 Canoga Avenue

Canoga Park CA 91303

Tel: (818)587-5550 Fax: (818)587-5555

## **APCL** Analytical Report

Service ID #: 801-994851

Received: 07/17/99

Collected by:

Extracted: N/A

Collected on: 07/14/99

Tested: 07/19/99

Repor

Reported: 07/26/99

Sample Description: Water

Project Description: Montrose Well G-10

#### **Analysis of Water Samples**

				Analys	is Result
Component Analyzed	Method	Unit	PQL	G-10	G-10 DUP
				99-04851-1	99-04851-2
P-Chlorobenzene Sulfonic Acid	HPLC	mg/L	10	ND	ND

PQL: Practical Quantitation Limit.

MDL: Method Detection Limit.

CRDL: Contract Required Detection Limit

N.D.: Not Detected or less than the practical quantitation limit.

N.D.: Not Detected or less than the practical quantitation limi

"-": Analysis is not required.

J: Reported between PQL and MDL.

Respectfully submitted

Laboratory Director

Applied P & Ch Laboratory

#### Applied P & Ch Laboratory

13760 Magnolia Ave. Chino CA 91710

Tel: (909) 590-1828 Fax: (909) 590-1498

## APCL QA/QC Report

Submitted to:

Columbia Analytical Services (Canoga Park, CA) Collected by:

Attention: Roy Martinez

6925 Canoga Avenue

Canoga Park, CA 91303

Tel: (818)587-5550 Fax: (818)587-5555

Service ID #: 801-994851

Collected on: 07/14/99

Sample description:

Water

Project: Montrose Well G-10

801-994851QC

Received: 07/17/99

Reported: 7/30/99

Tested: 07/19/99

#### Analysis of Water

				M-Blank		SP Level	LCS	MS	MSD	MS/MSD	Contro	l Limit
Component Name	Batch #	$(\mu_{g}/\mathtt{L})$	%Rec		Unit		%Rec	%Rec	%Rec	%RPD	%Rec	%Diff
p-CBSA												
para-Chlorobenzene Sulfonic Acid	99G3585	25000	95	N.D.	mg/L	25.0	99	104	103	1	65-135	30

Notation: ICV - Initial Calibration Verification

CCV - Continuation Calibration Verification

LCS - Lab Control Spike

MS - Matrix Spike

MSD - Matrix Spike Duplicate ICS - Interference Check Standard

MD - Matrix Duplicate

N.D. - Not detected or less than PQL

CCB - Continuation Calibration Blank

M-blank - Method Blank SP Level - Spike Level %Rec - Recovery Percent

%RPD - Relative Percent Differences %Diff - Control Limit for %RPD ICP-SD - ICP Serial Dilution N.A. - Not Applicable

Respectfully submitted,

QA Director

Applied P & Ch Laboratory

				CHAIL	2	- CC3	310L1	- 1	RECURD				Pg	Jo
								FOR LABORATORY USE	ATORY US	E ONLY:	657	903068		
Advanced Advanced	Advanced Technology	:		•				Method of	Method of Transport		San	Sample Condition Upon Receipt	on Receipt	
Labo	Laboratories	Batch #:		J	D.O. #			Walk-in Courier		1. CHILLED		Y N O 4.SE	4. SEALED	□ N □ ≻
1510 E. 33rd Street		P.O.#:						NPS		2. HEADSPACE (VOA)		Y [] N [] 5.#(	5. # OF SPLS MATCH COC	□ N □ Y 000H
Signal Hill, CA 90807   (562) 989-4045 • FAX (562) 989-4040	562) 989-4040	Logged By:	pM	0	Date: 7/14/101 Time: 15:57	- And Time	e. 15:37	FED. EXP ATL	<b>a</b> .	3. CONTAINER INTACT			6. PRESERVED	N □
Client: 内容なートラン				Ì	Address: (	8	ر کو	1	5.40 5	Sam		TEL: (	_	
Attn: Brian Deen	(				Sit Z	( <del>%</del>	3	City Inc Reach	i.,		Zip Code 90Kn7	,		
Project Name: Mandad	11.5/ 11	Pro Pro	Project #:			,	Sampler	(Printed Name	(e)		(Signature)	ר וז		
Relinquished by: (Signature and Pointed Name)	1741	1/4/1	Date:	Date: 7-14-9	11	Time: /44	١.	Received by: (Signature)	2 July 1	MILL ALV	AN .	Date	11.99	Time: 1005
Relinquished by: (Signature and Plinted Pro		LUCH	Date:	7-110-9	0	Time: ORUES	Receiv	Received by: (signature an	d Printed Name)	A CANA		Date	25- <b>4</b> -7	Time
Relinquished by: (Signature and Printed	nled Name)	<b>)</b>	Pate :		1	3	Receiv	Received by: (Signature and Printed Name)	od Printed Name)		900	Date: 7	66/01/1	Time: // /
SHIP TO LAB:	I hereby authorize ATL to perform the work indicated below: Project Mor /Submitter:	TL to perform the wo	ork indicated	below:	Send	Send Report To:	<b>T</b> o:		Spe	Special Instrugtions/0	fions/Comments:		+	
TEST:	Grand Wil	Jillions Date:	Date:	14,99										
DATE:CLIENT I.D.	Print N	eme	111	, )		Address				(				
		Signature			City			StateZip.		(C)				
Unless otherwise requested, all samples		posal: idard			Ang Ba	Circle or Add Analysis(es) Requested /	alysis(es)			DE 19		CIRCLE APPROPRIATE MATRIX		
will be disposed 45 days	U Other					31			(000L)	7	37/50/30 37/05/30			RWQCB
after receipt.	* \$10.00 FEE PER HAZARDOUS SAMPLE DISPOSAL.	R HAZARDOUS	SAMPLE D	ISPOSA		Delener		SWS	0109.0		Majo		V // G	
I LAB USE ONLY: T Batch #:		Sample Description	otion		$\overline{}$	AJ BOS		ANB) OF BOHGT OLYGY	\$ 50   100		No.	SI TIS	Container(s)	S OTHER
	Sai	Sample I.D.			e .	9,080 08,09 09,09 09,09		WS108 WS108	Z Sleien	10 01705 	AIP	# TAT / TAT	Туре	REMARKS
(U) 97055 - 001	6-10				C521				X		1	m	<u> </u>	
(E)	Q-10 D	DVP	1	1/H 12	257		X		X			B		
• TAT starts 8 a.m. following day if	day if TAT: A=	A= Overnight ≤ 24 hr	B E	B= Emergency	cy kday	<b>C</b>   Cr	Critical 2 Workdays		Urgent 3 Workdays	-	Routine 7 Workdays	Preservatives:		0.7-0
samples received affer 3 p.		Container Types: T≂	T=Tube V	V=VOA	L=Liter	اظ	nt J=Jar	B=Tedl	G=Glass	P=Pla	M=Metal	~	⊸	7=1 N=1